26

27

28

1

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	CUNG LE, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. <u>5:14-cv-05</u>
12	Plaintiffs,	Case No. <u>5:14-cv-05</u> Case No. <u>5:14-cv-05</u>
13	v.	ORDER DENYING
14	ZUFFA, LLC,	PREJUDICE ADM MOTIONS TO API
15	Defendant.	
16	LUIS JAVIER VASQUEZ, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
17		
18	Plaintiffs, v.	
19	ZUFFA, LLC,	
20	Defendant.	
21	BRANDON VERA, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
2223	Plaintiffs, v.	
24	ZUFFA, LLC,	
25	Defendant.	

Case No. <u>5:14-cv-05484-EJD</u> Case No. <u>5:14-cv-05591-EJD</u> Case No. 5:14-cv-05621-EJD

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO APPOINT COUNSEL

Because they request relief that is not suitable for resolution under Civil Local Rule 7-11,

Case Nos.: <u>5:14-cv-05484-EJD</u>; <u>5:14-cv-05591-EJD</u>; <u>5:14-cv-05621-EJD</u> ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO APPOINT **COUNSEL**

Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 50 Filed 02/09/15 Page 2 of 2

	2
	3
	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
iia	12
liforr	13
Northern District of Californ	14
trict (15
Dis.	16
rtheri	17
No	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

1

the administrative motions to appoint counsel in the above-referenced actions are DENIED		
WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Plaintiffs may re-file the motions pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-2, and		
shall notice the motions for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on June 11, 2015.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: February 9, 2015		

EDWARD J. DAVIIA
United States District Judge

2